


Subject: Re: Our Fiduciary Responsibility

From: Steven Reisner <[REDACTED]>

Reply-To: Steven Reisner <[REDACTED]>

Date: Thu, 7 May 2015 17:14:03 -0400

Content-Type: multipart/alternative

Parts/Attachments:  text/plain (358 lines), text/html (185 lines)

Paul,

I would like to make the following points in response to your email:

1. I have been in contact with Mr. Hoffman and his team since the investigation began. We have given him access to the APA emails and detailed updates of our findings at every step. He had a copy of our report before it was published in the New York Times. At no point did he ask us to hold off from publishing our report.
2. I know for a fact that because of the publication of our report, additional sources of information about these issues have been forwarded to Mr. Hoffman. You seem to misunderstand that coverage of ongoing investigations aids, rather than hinders, ongoing investigations.
3. You also seem to be unaware of the history of this issue (I know for a fact that you are not, see below). You state: "If members of the APA and/or staff have been involved in any way with implementing and/or supporting the use of torture, I want to know about it, I want the public to know about it, and I want the APA to take appropriate action." You say this as if APA has been responsive to these allegations, which have been made for over a decade. It has not. For over a decade, APA has responded with denials and, at times, outright lies to what we now know was legitimate concern about both its policy regarding "enhanced interrogations" and its role in supporting the Bush administrations' torture program. In the

