

**RESPONDENTS'
OPPOSITION EXHIBIT 21**

Behnke, Stephen

From: Newman, Russ
Sent: Fri 10/06/2006 3:24 PM (GMT-00:00)
To: 'Gerry Koocher'; Behnke, Stephen
Cc: Farberman, Rhea
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Seton Hall

Gerry,

Thanks. Sounds like you did a great job with the interview. It's not a good thing for my wife's status to be publicized--makes her(and me) a target, and I don't mean in a political sense. Russ

-----Original Message-----

From: Gerry Koocher [mailto:gerald.koocher@simmons.edu]
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 10:01 AM
To: Behnke, Stephen
Cc: Newman, Russ; Farberman, Rhea
Subject: Seton Hall

Dear Steve, Rhea, and Russ:

Yes. He mentioned it in his opening comments and made a point of thanking me personally afterwards noting, "We have too much in common on these issues to dwell on the smaller points of disagreement."

I was also interviewed by Katherine Eban of Vanity Fair and referred her to you (Steve) for more depth. She had clearly been "briefed" by some member of the PENS group or someone a task force member had talked to. So I decided to go deep on detail and disclosed Jean Marie's family issues and Wessel's ex post facto resignation. I also focused on the point that the "disagreement" by some PENS members focused on their wish to expand the mission/scope of the task force, noting that Jean Marie and Mike may not have been happy that their expansive wishes proved futile. I also highlighted the expertise on non-military folks such as Nina Thomas, Olivia, and Robert Fein, and cited Gellis.

She asked about "conflict of interests" and APA's "long history with the military." I gave her perspective on issues dating back to APA's action against participants in MKULTRA (1970's) and the ban on military advertising. She asked about APA staff who sat in on the PENS meeting. I pointed out that those staff were present as resources and to hear the discussion, but did not participate much (if at all) and certainly did not play a role in the decisions. She wondered about pandering to the administration to support funding initiatives. She also specifically mention Russ Newman and said that she "knew that his wife had been on a BSCT team." I noted that I don't know how much of the meeting he sat in

on, but I did not remember him saying anything. I also pointed out that APA has just as often (if not more often) been at odds with administration policies (e.g., gay relationships and "don't ask don't tell," McCain letter, etc.) and that our lobbying for funding focused on issues such as Medicare, NSF, NIH, etc., not things that benefit APA per se.

She also wanted to ask about Rx Privs and we had a good conversation about my predictions of psychiatry's demise and the need for Rx authority. I was able to show her a Powerpoint I had on my laptop about the issues and talked about how we would not repeat psychiatry's mistakes in being co-opted by drug companies, etc. She had questions about the DoD Rx program and was interested to learn that Republicans in the house have recently argued against efforts include money for Rx training in the military as recently as last week.

Hope this capsule is useful.

Gerry

Behnke, Stephen wrote:

- > Good to hear, Gerry. I'm sure you did an excellent job--not at all an easy crowd.
- >
- > Did Len Rubenstein mention anything about the McCain letter or the action alert?
- >
- > Steve
- >
- >