

EXHIBIT 12

Behnke, Stephen

From: Newman, Russ
Sent: Tue 1/18/2005 10:24 PM (GMT-00:00)
To: Behnke, Stephen
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Board Item--ethics/nat'l security

Some think effectiveness should replace efficacy (but that might be another kettle of fish).

-----Original Message-----[Newman, Russ]
From: Behnke, Stephen
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 2:05 PM
To: Newman, Russ
Subject: RE: Board Item--ethics/nat'l security

Russ, I added "effectiveness," so the item now reads "efficacy and effectiveness..."

-----Original Message-----
From: Newman, Russ
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 1:11 PM
To: Behnke, Stephen
Cc: O'Brien, Maureen; Honaker, Michael; Gilfoyle, Nathalie; Breckler, Steven J.; Mumford, Geoffrey; Kelly, Heather; Farberman, Rhea K.
Subject: RE: Board Item--ethics/nat'l security

Steve,
I think the item does a nice job of raising issues and questions without assuming we know what currently is being done by the psychologists who work in these areas (eg. "What does current research tell us about the efficacy of various investigative techniques?") Russ

P.S. You might want to talk about "effectiveness" rather than just "efficacy".

-----Original Message-----
From: Behnke, Stephen
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 12:35 PM
To: Honaker, Michael; Gilfoyle, Nathalie; Breckler, Steven J.; Mumford, Geoffrey; Kelly, Heather; Newman, Russ; Farberman, Rhea K.
Cc: O'Brien, Maureen
Subject: Board Item--ethics/nat'l security

Attached please find a draft of the Board item. Please review and send any changes to me. Geoff mentioned that Russ had an alternative suggestion for the word "coercive"--Russ?

The item says that Divisions 19 and 48 support the motion. I think they both will, but we will need to get official word from each.

The item is signed from Ethics and Science.

Thank you,

Steve