

# **EXHIBIT 25**

## Behnke, Stephen

---

**From:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie  
**Sent:** Fri 7/01/2005 3:19 AM (GMT-00:00)  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen; Mumford, Geoffrey  
**Cc:** Farberman, Rhea K.; Breckler, Steven J.; Kelly, Heather; Newman, Russ  
**Bcc:**  
**Subject:** RE: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

That looks good to me but Rhea is the pro. N

---

**From:** Behnke, Stephen  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 10:57 PM  
**To:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie; Mumford, Geoffrey  
**Cc:** Farberman, Rhea K.; Breckler, Steven J.; Kelly, Heather; Newman, Russ  
**Subject:** RE: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

Would this work?

The American Psychological Association Presidential Task Force on Psychological Ethics and National Security today released its report affirming the adequacy of the current APA Ethics Code in addressing the ethical dimensions of psychologists' involvement in national security-related activities, affirming APA's continuing central role and commitment to developing policies that address the role of psychology and psychologists in investigations related to national security, and calling for APA to develop further its resources to provide ethics consultation to psychologists who work with classified information in national security-related settings.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 10:47 PM  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen; Mumford, Geoffrey  
**Cc:** Farberman, Rhea K.; Newman, Russ; Breckler, Steven J.; Anderson, Norman; Kelly, Heather  
**Subject:** RE: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

It sounds good but I am not sure that they actually did that. They do talk about how the current Code applies -do they go so far as to say that it is adequate? (I can't open the attachment from my laptop for some reason) do they say APA provides adequate guidance etc...the recommendations re developing a consultative process for these types of issues could be construed otherwise. And the last provision re commitment to developing polices etc comes out of the recommendations which I am not sure the Board will adopt now. Also I think APA and not the Task Force will release the report. I don't mean to be nit picking on this but that is what will happen and it seems to me that we just need to be super cautious on any statement to prepare for the worst. On that cheerful note....

---

**From:** Behnke, Stephen

**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 9:27 PM

**To:** Mumford, Geoffrey

**Cc:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie; Farberman, Rhea K.; Newman, Russ; Breckler, Steven J.; Anderson, Norman; Kelly, Heather

**Subject:** RE: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

**Wow...I think that sounds really good. Thoughts?**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mumford, Geoffrey

**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 9:23 PM

**To:** Behnke, Stephen

**Cc:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie; Farberman, Rhea K.; Newman, Russ; Breckler, Steven J.; Anderson, Norman; Kelly, Heather

**Subject:** RE: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

Steve,

How about a more pro-spin rewrite to address those concerns:

The American Psychological Association Presidential Task Force on Psychological Ethics and National Security today released its report affirming the adequacy of the current APA Ethics Code in addressing the ethical dimensions of psychologists' involvement in national security-related activities, affirming the extent to which APA provides adequate ethical guidance to psychologists involved in these endeavors, and affirming APA's continuing central role and commitment to developing policies that address the role of psychology and psychologists in investigations related to national security.

-geoff

---

**From:** Behnke, Stephen

**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 7:06 PM

**To:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie; Farberman, Rhea K.; Newman, Russ; Breckler, Steven J.; Mumford, Geoffrey; Anderson, Norman; Kelly, Heather

**Subject:** RE: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

**Actually, as I ponder Nathalie's points, I wonder--while that is indeed the Task Force charge, does leading with the charge put APA on the defensive, as if to ask "Does APA have its act together"....?**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gilfoyle, Nathalie

**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 6:34 PM

**To:** Farberman, Rhea K.; Behnke, Stephen; Newman, Russ; Breckler, Steven J.; Mumford, Geoffrey; Anderson, Norman; Kelly, Heather

**Subject:** FW: DRAFT of media release for PENS report

One question as noted. N

*Nathalie Gilfoyle  
General Counsel  
American Psychological Association  
750 First Street , N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
202-336-6186*

The information contained in this e-mail message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you think that you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mail the sender at [ngilfoyle@apa.org](mailto:ngilfoyle@apa.org).

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Farberman, Rhea K.  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2005 5:22 PM  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen; Newman, Russ; Breckler, Steven J.; Kelly, Heather; Mumford, Geoffrey; Anderson, Norman; Gilfoyle, Nathalie  
**Subject:** DRAFT of media release for PENS report

Attached is a draft of a media release to accompany the PENS Report distribution to media (probably Tuesday) -- please review. Media would also get a full text copy of the report.

Thank you.  
Rhea

<< File: PENS report release note.doc >>

Rhea K. Farberman, APR  
Executive Director, Public and Member Communications  
American Psychological Association  
(202) 336-5709  
[RFarberman@apa.org](mailto:RFarberman@apa.org)  
[www.apa.org](http://www.apa.org)