

EXHIBIT 66

Message

From: Hoffman, David H. [david.hoffman@sidley.com]
Sent: 4/15/2015 11:45:08 PM
To: Russ Newman (rnewman@alliant.edu) [rnewman@alliant.edu]
CC: Latifi, Yasir [ylatifi@sidley.com]
Subject: FW: APA independent review: Request for assistance

Dear Dr. Newman:

I am following up on the email from Dr. Nadine Kaslow on behalf of the APA (set out below) in which she requested your cooperation and assistance in the independent review we are conducting on behalf of the APA regarding the post-9/11 involvement of psychologists in detainee interrogations, the APA Ethics Code, and related APA ethics pronouncements including the PENS Task Force. We very much appreciate your willingness to assist us in our review. I also left a message with your assistant earlier today about this.

Dr. Kaslow's email attached the Board's resolution and public statements (also attached here), which described our independent review in greater detail. As set out in those statements, we are conducting the review in a completely independent fashion with the sole objective of ascertaining the truth about the allegations through an independent review of all available evidence, wherever that evidence leads, without regard to whether the evidence or conclusions may be deemed favorable or unfavorable to APA.

As part of our review, we have been meeting with virtually all the APA-affiliated individuals and Task Force members relating to these issues, and I'd like to schedule a meeting with you to discuss your involvement in and observations about these issues when you were at APA. As I mentioned to your assistant, one of my colleagues and I will be in southern California for other meetings the week of April 27 and would like to see if that might be convenient for you. We have an office in LA and could meet you at your office in San Diego if that works best for you. Alternatively, if you are in DC on a regular basis, we would be happy to schedule a meeting in DC if that is preferable for you.

I'd also like to request that you provide us with any documents or records in your possession – including any notes, emails, or other communications – that may be relevant to the issues of the independent review. Any document or record that would be useful in attempting to understand the APA's actions, decisions, and communications on this subject, especially between 2001 and 2008, is something that we would consider helpful and relevant to our review.

Please consider whether you have both hard copies and electronic documents that would be relevant to us. These documents may include any notes, memos, correspondence of any sort (whether emails, letters, etc.), calendar entries, or drafts of reports or revisions, among other things. If you are planning to search your emails or other electronic records for relevant documents and are not sure how to proceed, we would be happy to discuss this with you and to provide any assistance or share our thoughts about how best to locate such documents.

Some of the specific categories of documents that are relevant to our review are:

1. Any documents that pre-date 2009 relating to the role of psychologists in national security interrogations, including any documents (such as notes or emails) relating to any discussions or correspondence on this topic with anyone affiliated with the APA (whether Board members, management, staff, or otherwise), or with military, CIA, or other government officials;
2. Any documents related to conferences or meetings sponsored, organized, or hosted by APA between 2001 and 2005 where one of the topics to be discussed was interrogations, educating information, or deception detection;
3. Any documents relating to the 2002 revisions to the APA Ethics Code (such as documents relating to the meetings, discussions, and draft revisions of the Ethics Code Task Force) that have any bearing on psychologists' participation in interrogations;

4. Any documents related to the PENS Task Force, including documents relating to (a) the idea of creating such a task force, (b) the planning and preparation for the task force, (c) the selection of task force members, (d) the formation of the task force, (e) the meetings of the task force (including meeting notes and agendas), (f) the drafting and dissemination of the task force report (including any drafts or comments on drafts), and (g) subsequent discussions and follow up actions relating to the report; and

5. Any documents relating to resolutions, petitions, or referendums considered or acted on by the APA Council of Representatives on this subject matter from 2005 to 2009.

As I mentioned above, if we can make this process easier for you by being of any assistance, please do not hesitate to let me know. You may send us documents either by mail at the address listed below, or by email either to me or our independent review team's email address, which is apareview@sidley.com.

As Dr. Kaslow said in her email, receiving your full cooperation and assistance is important in ensuring that we are able to gather all relevant information – which is of course critical to our effort to conduct a complete and thorough review of these issues.

Thank you very much in advance for your time, and for your assistance and cooperation in this matter. I look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards,
David Hoffman

DAVID HOFFMAN
Partner

Sidley Austin LLP
+1.312.853.2174
david.hoffman@sidley.com

From: Kaslow, Nadine [<mailto:nkaslow@emory.edu>]
Sent: Thursday, February 26, 2015 9:35 PM
To: Russ Newman (rnewman@alliant.edu)
Cc: Hoffman, David H.
Subject: APA independent review: Request for assistance

Dear Russ,

On behalf of the APA Board of Directors, I would like to request your assistance in the independent review being conducted for APA on the issue of the APA ethics code and psychologists' involvement in national security interrogations. As you may know, the APA Board has authorized the engagement of David Hoffman of the law firm Sidley Austin to conduct an independent review into the allegations in James Risen's recent book that the APA colluded with the Bush Administration after 9/11 to promote, support, or facilitate the use of enhanced interrogation techniques by the CIA and the Defense Department in the global war on terror.

I have attached the Board's resolution and public statement describing the independent review. As set out in those statements, the sole objective of the review is to ascertain the truth about these allegations following an independent review of all available evidence, wherever that evidence leads, without regard to whether the evidence or conclusions may be deemed favorable or unfavorable to the APA. **Your complete cooperation and full assistance in the review will be an important part in assuring that all relevant information is available to Mr. Hoffman.**

The Board's resolution formed a Special Committee to interact with Mr. Hoffman and provide him with whatever assistance he needs. I am the Chairman of that Special Committee, and you may feel free to contact me if you have any questions about this process that are not answered by the Board's resolution and public statement.

Thank you in advance for your assistance and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,
Nadine J. Kaslow, PhD
APA Past President

Nadine J Kaslow, PhD, ABPP
Professor, Vice Chair, Chief Psychologist (Grady)
Past-President, American Psychological Association
Editor, *Journal of Family Psychology*
Emory Dept of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Grady Hospital, 80 Jesse Hill Jr Dr
Atlanta, GA 30303
404-616-4757 (office); 404-547-1957 (cell)

This e-mail message (including any attachments) is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this message (including any attachments) is strictly prohibited.

If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail message and destroy all copies of the original message (including attachments).