

**From:** Kaslow, Nadine <[NKASLOW@emory.edu](mailto:NKASLOW@emory.edu)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 17, 2014 1:16 PM  
**To:** Garrison, Ellen; Behnke, Stephen  
**Subject:** RE: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Hi,  
My goals  
Limit the interrogation  
Limit the torture

Fascinating parallel process not lost on any of us

njk

**From:** Garrison, Ellen [<mailto:egarrison@apa.org>]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 17, 2014 1:15 PM  
**To:** Kaslow, Nadine; Behnke, Stephen  
**Subject:** RE: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Ah, yes... Our clinical skills may be waning by now... but you will be great! Hey, it's just January!

**From:** Kaslow, Nadine <[NKASLOW@emory.edu](mailto:NKASLOW@emory.edu)>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 17, 2014 6:12 PM  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen; Garrison, Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Hi, sounds like being a good therapist. njk

**From:** Behnke, Stephen [<mailto:sbehnke@apa.org>]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 17, 2014 6:30 AM  
**To:** Kaslow, Nadine; Garrison, Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Agree completely; framing it as an opportunity to hear their questions and concerns is a good way to go for this call. If they push you to take a position or to commit to a course of action, you can say that first you need to understand the issues in more depth, which is why this call is very helpful to you.

**From:** Kaslow, Nadine <[NKASLOW@emory.edu](mailto:NKASLOW@emory.edu)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 16, 2014 2:57:37 PM  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen; Garrison, Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Hi,  
Thanks about all of this.  
Listening is the name of the game.  
But helps to be informed.  
Nadine

**From:** Behnke, Stephen [<mailto:sbehnke@apa.org>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 16, 2014 11:21 AM  
**To:** Garrison, Ellen; Kaslow, Nadine  
**Subject:** RE: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

I agree with Ellen about not requesting a written summary--Ellen's point is very well taken.

If Frank and Bill get "pouty," and say they anticipated having a discussion and want to hear your position, you can very easily explain that you're educating yourself on these issues and it's very important for you to understand clearly their points. The others in the group will support you and will appreciate your taking the time to listen to Bill and Frank. Laurie Wagner may contribute as well.

I also strongly agree with Ellen's point about steering them toward your presidential initiatives. I would begin with 15-20 minutes talking about your initiatives, and how Division 39 fits in. I would then move to this issue.

Steve

**From:** Garrison, Ellen  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 16, 2014 10:30 AM  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen; Nadine Kaslow  
**Subject:** RE: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Nadine, I strongly agree with the approach outlined by Steve below. Bill and Frank are unrelenting and paint a highly inaccurate and pejorative view of APA's handling of these issues. Two blatant examples of this are claims that we have not implemented the petition resolution when we have in fact done all that Alan Kazdin's advisory group (which included the three petitioners) to implement the petition resolution recommended by consensus. The report can be found here on our website. <http://www.apa.org/news/press/statements/petition-resolution.aspx> Also, with respect to the reconciled policy, the petition resolution is highlighted as the very first provision – hardly obscuring its reality as Frank claims in his paper.

My only divergence from Steve's suggestion is about requesting a written summary of the discussion. As best you can use your outstanding clinical skills to hear their issues/concerns and get them to refocus on engaging with you on your presidential initiatives, the better! The world is moving forward, they are not...

Ellen

**From:** Behnke, Stephen  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 16, 2014 3:02 AM  
**To:** Nadine Kaslow; Garrison, Ellen  
**Subject:** FW: Implementation of APA Policy CONFIDENTIAL

Nadine, based on the messages from Bill and Frank, I recommend a change in your approach for the phone call with Division 39. I think you should \*avoid\* a discussion that is likely to get into highly specific details. The messages they have sent you make clear that want to engage in a very detailed level.

I would offer general points about implementation, and then say you want to hear their comments and concerns.

( I think it is very likely that Bill and Frank, who have written you, are going to try to pull you into a detailed discussion about APA policy as it relates to specific detention sites. Others are likely to join in. We

want to avoid this discussion. They will overwhelm you with information, much of which is simply not correct (there is much information in Frank's column that is not correct). Framing the call as an opportunity for you to make a few general points and then to hear their comments and concerns will get you out of a "give-and-take" on very specific aspects of APA policy.)

I have revised your talking points to reflect an approach in which you:

1. Make a few general points based on your knowledge of the 2008 and 2013 resolutions, and
2. Invite people on the call to share their questions and concerns with you. You can frame this as an opportunity for you to \*listen.\*

I would emphasize that you want to hear their comments and concerns, and will be taking notes. IF they begin to ask questions, I would (gently) remind that the purpose is for you to understand their comments and concerns.

At the end of the call, I would ask them if they could please send you a written summary of the discussion, so you can make sure you've gotten all the points that are important to them.

Steve

**From:** Kaslow, Nadine <[NKASLOW@emory.edu](mailto:NKASLOW@emory.edu)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 15, 2014 10:25 PM  
**To:** Behnke, Stephen  
**Subject:** Fwd: Implementation of APA Policy

What do I do with this

Nadine

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Frank Summers <[franksumphd@gmail.com](mailto:franksumphd@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** January 15, 2014 at 10:12:25 PM EST  
**To:** "Kaslow, Nadine" <[nkaslow@emory.edu](mailto:nkaslow@emory.edu)>  
**Subject:** Implementation of APA Policy

Hi Nadine, Just wanted to send you this to give you a heads up regarding one of the issues to be discussed Saturday and to show the evidence from which the issue arises. Looking forward to talking with you....Best, Frank

--

PLEASE NOTE NEW EMAIL ADDRESS [Franksumphd@gmail.com](mailto:Franksumphd@gmail.com)

Frank Summers, Ph.D.  
333 East Ontario Suite4509B  
Chicago, IL 60611